

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Physica C 408-410 (2004) 104-106



www.elsevier.com/locate/physc

## Electronic structure and anisotropic superconductivity in diborides and borocarbides

S.-L. Drechsler <sup>a,\*</sup>, H. Rosner <sup>b</sup>, I. Opahle <sup>a</sup>, S.V. Shulga <sup>a</sup>, H. Eschrig <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Leibniz-Institut f. Festkörper- u. Werkstoffforschung Dresden, P.O. Box 270116, D-01171 Dresden, Germany <sup>b</sup> Max-Planck-Institut f. Chemische Physik fester Stoffe, Dresden, Germany

## Abstract

We compare calculated Fermi surface sheet (FSS) areas F and masses of MgB<sub>2</sub> and ZrB<sub>2</sub> with dHvA data. Deviations in F in MgB<sub>2</sub> can be removed by a small mutual shift of  $\sigma$ - and  $\pi$  bands. The dHvA masses lead to orbit averaged el-ph coupling constants  $\bar{\lambda}_{\sigma} \approx 1.03$  and  $\bar{\lambda}_{\pi} \approx 0.32$ , while for ZrB<sub>2</sub> only  $\bar{\lambda} < 0.1$  holds. The anisotropy of the Fermi velocities and the orbital character of various FSS of rare earth (R) transition metal (T) borocarbides RT<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C, their relationship to the  $H_{c2}$ -anisotropy, and the coexistence of magnetism and superconductivity are discussed. © 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*PACS:* 74.20; 74.25–q; 74.70 *Keywords:* Electronic structure; Multiband superconductivity; Upper critical field anisotropy

Although MgB<sub>2</sub> and borocarbides appear to be well described as Fermi liquids based on the band structure described in the local density approximation (LDA), only recently detailed comparisons with de Haas van Alphen (dHvA) [1] and angle resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) data [2] became possible. In the mean time exotic scenarios have been proposed [3,4]. Lacking/low- $T_c$  superconductivity in other diborides should be explained too [5–7]. With this aim we compare first normale state properties of MgB<sub>2</sub> with nonsuperconducting ZrB<sub>2</sub>. As a basic property of a clean limit type-II superconductor the upper critical field  $H_{c2}(T)$  provides insight into the relationship of electronic structure, e.g. by the Fermi velocities  $\mathbf{v}_F$ , and superconductivity.

A marked out-of-plane (OPA,  $\gamma_H(T) = H_{c2}^{ab}/H_{c2}^c > 1$ ) and no in-plane (IPA)  $H_{c2}$ -anisotropies have been reported for MgB<sub>2</sub> whereas Y(Lu)Ni<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C exhibit weak (~10%) OPA and IPA as well. A sizable *reversed* OPA ( $\gamma_H < 1$  up to 0.5) at low T in related (nearly)magnetic systems with Y, Lu (sometimes partially) replaced by rare earths elements R has been ascribed to crystal field effects (CFE) [8]. Here, we address the questions: How is  $\gamma_H \leq 1/\gamma_v$  related to the **v**<sub>F</sub>-anisotropy (defined as  $\gamma_v = \sqrt{2}v_z/\sqrt{v_x^2 + v_y^2}$ ) averaged on disjoint Fermi surface sheets (FSS)? What is their specific role in the coexistence of superconductivity and magnetism?

We have performed relativistic and scalar relativistic (pseudo-core for 4f electrons where necessary) band structure calculations for most diborides and borocarbides using the FPLO code [5,9–11]. To ensure high precision and consistency of the calculations, we have applied for diborides also the FLAPW method as implemented in the WIEN97 code which has produced equivalent results. ARPES was the first check to probe the LDA electronic structure of MgB<sub>2</sub> [2]. The inspection of the data revealed some unexpected features which could not be ascribed to bulk states. Applying the layered KKR, we were able to resolve assignment problems in terms of surface states [12]. Let us turn to the FSS areas F and electronic masses of  $MgB_2$  (see Table 1). Basically, we find good agreement for the F similarly as Ref. [13]. However, at variance with Ref. [13], the remaining deviations between our LDA and dHvA F can be removed by a slight downshift  $\delta \epsilon \sim 100$  meV of the

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel.: +49-351-4659-384; fax: +49-351-4659-490.

E-mail address: drechsler@ifw-dresden.de (S.-L. Drechsler).

Table 1 LDA parameters of MgB<sub>2</sub> compared to dHvA data<sup>1</sup>, (F in kT)

	<b>e</b> - 1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Orbit	$F_{calc}$	F <sub>exp</sub>	$m_{ m b}$	$ m^* $	$\lambda =  m^* / m_{ m b}  - 1$
$\sigma_{\Gamma}^{S}$	0.78 (0.79)	0.551	-0.25	0.548	1.19
$\sigma_{\Gamma}^{L}$	1.65 (1.67)	1.18	-0.57	1.2	1.11
$\pi_{\Gamma}$	34.5		1.87		
$\sigma^{S}_{A}$	1.83 (1.81)	1.534	-0.31	0.61	0.97
$\sigma_{A}^{L}$	3.45 (3.46)	2.971	-0.64	1.18	0.84
π <sub>A</sub>	30.6		-0.93		
$\pi_{M}$	0.45	0.576	-0.25	0.31	0.26
$\pi_{ m L}$	3.03	2.705	0.32	0.439	0.37

The FPLO values, using 16221 k points in the irreducible BZ; values in parentheses—FLAPW using the GGA exchange-correlation; band masses in  $m_e$ . Orbit notation see Ref. [11].

σ-bands relative to the π-bands. Its microscopic reason (beyond the LDA) is still unclear. Several scenarios such as weak polaronic corrections generic for multiband systems with markedly different el–ph interaction in various bands and high-frequency phonons  $\omega_{ph}$  involved [5]:  $\delta \epsilon \propto (\lambda_{\sigma} - \lambda_{\pi})\hbar\omega_{ph}$  or electron–electron self-energy effects will be considered elsewhere. Here, we note only that the search of B isotope effects for the *F* might be helpful to distinguish between them. Turning to ZrB<sub>2</sub>, we note that the agreement between dHvA and LDA is even better than in MgB<sub>2</sub>. The value of the orbit averaged el– ph coupling constant  $\lambda \leq 0.1$  is in accord with the FS averaged  $\lambda$  from specific heat [6], point-contact measurements [7], and the lack of superconductivity.

All considered RTBC exhibit a complex FS with up to five sheets. The largest FSS shows small nested parts, most pronounced for RTBC with T = Ni with a partial weight of about 5% of the total density of states (DOS) at  $E_{\rm F}$ . These slow electrons with strong electron-phonon interaction prove to cause the  $H_{c2}$ -pecularities in Y(Lu)Ni<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C. To a first approximation their main contribution to  $H_{c2}(0)$  and their interplay with FSS's of weakly interacting fast electrons in determining the upward curvature near  $T_c$  have been explained using a twoband model [14]. The  $v_F$ -anisotropy of the nested parts on the large FSS is huge  $1/\gamma_v \approx 10$ . It dominates the value of  $\gamma_H$  for nonmagnetic RTBC. For isolated nesting electrons we would arrive at a huge  $\gamma_H$  like in MgB<sub>2</sub>. But the net effect is reduced by the admixture of opposite contributions from other FSS with  $\gamma_v > 1$ . The orbital analysis of states near  $E_{\rm F}$  shows that these nested parts of the large FSS contain marked admixtures of R 5d states whereas the central, second-largest, FSS inside the lemon-like features is formed almost only by Ni  $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ and 3d<sub>xy</sub> derived states. This FSS (called pillow-like in [15]) contributes about 70% to the total DOS N(0). In view of the missing correlation between  $T_c$ -values and the calculated DOS, we conclude that these electrons play a minor role in the superconductivity of nonmagnetic RTBC. But for magnetic RTBC that pillow FSS is least affected by the magnetic moments of R elements

since the magnetism is transferred from the R 4f states to the conducting electrons via the local polarization of the R 5d states. Thus the pillow FSS derived electrons are of main interest for the coexistence of magnetism and superconductivity. Naturally, the significant opposite  $v_{\rm F}$ -anisotropy  $\gamma_v \sim 2-2.4$  is comparable with the reversed value  $\gamma_H \approx 0.5$  observed for  $Y_{0.8}$ Tb<sub>0.2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C and RNi<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C, where R = Ho, Dy, Er. Further improvements should result taking into account the ignored CFE [8] which are relevant for R = Tm, Gd with an enhanced normal  $\gamma_H$ -value.

To summarize, the nice description of the LDA FSCS of MgB<sub>2</sub> and ZrB<sub>2</sub> as well as the ARPES data for MgB<sub>2</sub> emphasizes our quite reasonable understanding of diborides. Most of them differ from MgB<sub>2</sub> mainly by lacking  $\sigma$ -derived holes with strong el-ph interaction. Thus, our study yields strong support for multiband Eliashberg models [16] (possibly with small many-body corrections).  $H_{c2}$  is governed mainly by the Fermi velocity anisotropy. Less space is left for exotic scenarios [3].

## Acknowledgements

The Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the Emmy-Noether-Programm, and the Sonderforschungsbereich 463 are gratefully acknowledged for financial support. We are indebted to A.I. Morosov for drawing our attention to the potential importance of self-energy effects for shifts of the chemical potential (see also Refs. [4,5]).

## References

- A. Carrington, et al., Physica C (2004), these Proceedings and Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (2003) 037003.
- [2] H. Uchiyama et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 157002.
- [3] E. Cappelluti et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 117003;
   T. Imada, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70 (2001) 1218;

N. Furukawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70 (2001) 1483;
J.E. Hirsch et al., Phys. Rev. B 64 (2001) 144523;
F. Marsiglio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 247001;
G. Baskaran, cond-mat/0103308.

- [4] V.A. Ivanov et al., Phys. Rev. B 66 (2002) 134519, where a down shift of a single band in MgB<sub>2</sub> (standing for our 2  $\sigma$ -bands) by ~100 meV as suggested here has been estimated within an ionic model for large 2D-polarons. More work is required to treat all many-body effects on equal footing. A reasonable starting point is given by the approach proposed by A.A. Abrikosov, L.P. Gor'kov, and I.E. Dzy-aloshinskii "Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics", Chapt. IV, 21.3, Moscow (1962), see also http://kolmogorov.com/Abrikosov.html, and A.I. Morosov, Sov. Solid State Phys. 20 (1978) 3325.
- [5] H. Rosner et al., in: A.V. Narlikar (Ed.), Superconducting Mg Diboride/Studies of High Temperature Superconductors, vol. 38, Nova Publishers, New York, 2001, Phys. Rev.

B 64 (2001) 144516;

S.-L. Drechsler et al., in preparation.

- [6] G. Fuchs et al., J. Low Temp. Phys. 131 (2003) 5/6.
- [7] Y.G. Naidyuk et al., Phys. Rev. B 66 (2002) 140301.
- [8] H. Bitterlich et al., Phys. Rev. B 65 (2002) 224416.
- [9] I. Opahle, Ph.D. Thesis, TU Dresden, 2001.
- [10] K. Koepernik et al., Phys. Rev. B 59 (1999) 1743.
- [11] H. Rosner et al., Phys. Rev. B 66 (2002) 024521.
- [12] V.D.P. Servedio et al., Phys. Rev. B 66 (2002) 140502.
- [13] I.I. Mazin, J. Kortus, Phys. Rev. B 65 (2002) 180510.
- [14] S.V. Shulga et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 1730.
- [15] S.-L. Drechsler et al., Physica C 364–365 (2002) 31.
- [16] S.V. Shulga et al., cond-mat/0103154;
  A.Y. Liu et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 087005;
  H.J. Choi et al., Nature 418 (2002) 758, Phys. Rev. B 66 (2002) 020513.