J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 17 (2005) S823-S836

High-pressure transport properties of CeRu₂Ge₂

H Wilhelm¹, D Jaccard², V Zlatić³, R Monnier⁴, B Delley⁵ and B Coqblin⁶

¹ Max-Planck-Institut f
ür Chemische Physik fester Stoffe, N
öthnitzer Stra
ße 40, 01187 Dresden, Germany

² Département de Physique de la Matière Condensée, Université de Genève,

Quai Ernest-Ansermet 24, 1211 Genève 4, Switzerland

³ Institute of Physics, Bijenička cesta 46, PO Box 304, 10001 Zagreb, Croatia

⁴ ETH Hönggerberg, Laboratorium für Festkörperphysik, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland

⁵ Paul Scherrer Institut, WHGA/123, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

⁶ Laboratoire de Physique des Solides, Université Paris-Sud, Bâtiment 510, 91405 Orsay, France

Received 5 January 2005 Published 4 March 2005 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/17/S823

Abstract

The pressure-induced changes in the temperature-dependent thermopower S(T)and electrical resistivity $\rho(T)$ of CeRu₂Ge₂ are described within the singlesite Anderson model. The Ce ions are treated as impurities and the coherent scattering on different Ce sites is neglected. Changing the hybridization Γ between the 4f states and the conduction band accounts for the pressure effect. The transport coefficients are calculated in the non-crossing approximation above the phase boundary line. The theoretical S(T) and $\rho(T)$ curves show many features of the experimental data. The seemingly complicated temperature dependence of S(T) and $\rho(T)$, and their evolution as a function of pressure, is related to the crossovers between various fixed points of the model.

1. Introduction

The thermoelectric power (*S*) of Ce-based heavy fermion (HF) or unstable valence compounds and alloys exhibits a seemingly complicated temperature dependence, S(T). Depending on the hybridization (Γ) between the 4f and the conduction electrons, the systems can attain ground states varying from magnetically ordered to mixed valent (MV), like CeCu₂Ge₂ [1] and CeNi₂Si₂ [2], respectively. Between these two extreme cases magnetic Kondo systems, e.g. CeAl₂ [3], and HF compounds such as CeCu₂Si₂ [4], CeRu₂Si₂ [5], and CeCu₆ [6] are situated. A systematic development of pronounced features in S(T) becomes apparent if the compounds are arranged according to increasing Γ values, i.e. upon approaching the MV regime.

One possibility for increasing Γ in Ce compounds is to apply pressure (*p*). Depending on the compounds' ground state properties (i.e. the value of Γ) at ambient pressure, some of the anomalies at low and high temperature evolve and their behaviour under pressure can be studied. Examples showing the influence of pressure on S(T) of a magnetically ordered compound include CeCu₂Ge₂ and CePd₂Si₂[1,7,8]. In the case of HF systems, pressure effects on the S(T) of CeAl₃ and CeCu₂Si₂ [9, 4] were explored intensively. The transport properties of the magnetically ordered CeRu₂Ge₂ clearly revealed the pressure-induced development of two well resolved maxima in S(T) over a considerable pressure range [10]. The development of a pronounced positive maximum close to room temperature is related to the splitting of the 4f states due to the crystalline electric field (CEF) [11]. The non-monotonic S(T) below about 10 K is very probably caused by the occurrence of magnetic order and the opening of a gap in the magnetic excitation spectrum. Upon approaching the magnetic/non-magnetic phase boundary at a critical pressure $p_c = 7.8$ GPa, a low-temperature maximum evolves above 10 K. It is very probably related to the Kondo effect and gives a measure of the Kondo temperature, T_K . Well above this pressure only the high-temperature maximum remains and the S(T) curves lose their complexity.

The anomalous S(T) data for Ce- and Yb-based compounds have been the subject of many theoretical investigations and are still controversial (see [12] and references therein). In the present paper we present a qualitative description of the pressure-induced changes in $\rho(T)$ and S(T) for CeRu₂Ge₂ within the single-site Anderson model. The spectral function of the 4f electron was obtained within the non-crossing approximation (NCA). The results above the characteristic energy scale T_0 can be used reliably, whereas for $T < T_0$ the limitations of the method should be kept in mind. An accurate solution of the single-impurity model at low temperature is not useful, because we are dealing with a stoichiometric compound, which is magnetically ordered at low pressure. A single-site model cannot describe ordered compounds below the coherence temperature anyway. Despite these limitations, the Anderson model yields a qualitative description of the experimental data of CeRu₂Ge₂ above the ordering temperature.

2. Experimental results

A clamped Bridgman anvil cell with synthetic diamonds was used to pressurize the sample up to 16 GPa. The four-point $\rho(T)$ and the S(T) measurements were carried out on *one* sample in the temperature range 1.2 K < T < 300 K. It is important to note that $\rho(T)$ and S(T) were measured perpendicular to the tetragonal *c*-axis. A general description of the experimental high-pressure set-up for S(T) measurements can be found in [13]. The experimental results of interest in the present context are summarized in the following. A thorough presentation of the experimental findings can be found in [10].

The magnetic part $\rho_{mag}(T)$ of the total electrical resistivity, $\rho(T)$, is shown in figure 1. It was obtained by subtracting an appropriate phonon contribution [10]. In the region of interest here (T > 10 K), a maximum in $\rho_{mag}(T)$ develops near room temperature for intermediate pressures. It is attributed as originating from the Kondo exchange interaction between the conduction electrons and the crystal field split ground state of the Ce³⁺ ions.

This interpretation is supported by the evolution of a high-temperature maximum in S(T) as can be seen in figure 2. Its position, T_S , corresponds to a fraction of the crystal field splitting (the first excited doublet is at $\Delta = 500$ K [14, 15]) and its amplitude increases linearly with pressure up to a value of 55 μ V K⁻¹ at about 10 GPa. As for $\rho(T)$, the features in S(T) below about 10 K and $p \leq 3.4$ GPa presumably reflect the appearance of long-range magnetic order [10]. At 5.7 GPa, however, a pronounced maximum at $T_K \approx 12$ K is present. Its position is very sensitive to pressure and a trace of it can be anticipated at about 40 K at 8.0 GPa (see the inset to figure 2).

On the basis of these results as well as earlier transport [16, 17] and calorimetric measurements [18], a (T, p) phase diagram of CeRu₂Ge₂ can be drawn



Figure 1. The magnetic contribution $\rho_{mag}(T)$ to the electrical resistivity of CeRu₂Ge₂ at different pressures. Two different antiferromagnetic phases occur below T_N and T_L , and a ferromagnetic ground state is present below T_C and at low pressure. No traces of magnetic order are observed for p > 7 GPa above 1.2 K. Inset: the pressure dependence of the coefficient A obtained from a fit of $\rho(T) = \rho_0 + AT^2$ for T < 0.5 K to the data of [16].

(figure 3).⁷ In the present context, the main observation is that the long-range magnetic order is suppressed at a critical pressure $p_c \approx 7.8$ GPa and that a HF-like behaviour equivalent to that in CeRu₂Si₂ at ambient pressure sets in for $p > p_c$. The almost identical S(T) curves of CeRu₂Si₂ at ambient pressure and CeRu₂Ge₂ at high pressure strongly suggest that the unit-cell volume is the crucial parameter as far as the size of the Kondo exchange interaction is concerned, and propose that the position of the peak in S(T) at low temperature is a measure for the Kondo temperature T_K [10]. Additional support for this assignment is provided by the pressure dependence of the A(p) coefficient (inset to figure 1) of the quadratic-in-temperature $\rho(T)$ behaviour fitted to the data below 0.5 K reported in [16]. In the non-magnetic regime the assumption that $T_K \propto 1/\sqrt{A}$ holds and the calculated $T_K(p)$ data are shown in figure 3 [10]. They were normalized in such a way that at p = 7.8 + 0.6 GPa the value of $T_K = 24$ K of CeRu₂Si₂ at ambient pressure was obtained [21]. The small pressure offset corresponds to the fact that long-range magnetic order in CeRu₂(Si_{1-x}Ge_x)₂ vanishes at a critical concentration $x_c \approx 0.06$ [10, 22].

3. The theoretical model

The theoretical description is based on an effective impurity model. It is assumed that the scattering of conduction electrons on a given Ce ion depends on other Ce ions only through

⁷ Figure 3 shows T_N and T_L values from a previous $\rho(T)$ experiment [16, 17] only up to p = 7.6 and 6.7 GPa, respectively. It is very likely that in figure 5 of [16] the data points at higher pressure are not representing a magnetic ordering temperature. This notion has emerged from the inspection of the results published in recent years [18–20]. Furthermore, the A(p) dependence shown in figure 1 gives strong support to the suggestion that $T_N \rightarrow 0$ at $p \approx 7.8$ GPa, i.e., the pressure where A(p) attains its maximum value.



Figure 2. The temperature dependence of the thermoelectric power S(T) of CeRu₂Ge₂ for various pressures. The features present in the low-temperature part of S(T) for $p \leq 5.7$ GPa are due to the magnetic order (e.g. T_L). T_K and T_S label the centre of broad, pressure-induced maxima, related to the Kondo effect and the crystalline electric field, respectively. The inset shows S(T) data for CeRu₂Ge₂ in the non-magnetic phase.

the modification of the conduction band. Fluctuations between $4f^0$ and $4f^1$ configurations by exchanging electrons with the conduction band are allowed. The energy difference between the two configurations is $|E_f|$ and the hopping is characterized by the matrix element V. The $4f^1$ configuration is represented by the CEF states which are split by an energy $\Delta \ll |E_f|$. The local symmetry is taken into account by specifying the degeneracy of the CEF levels. The $4f^2$ configuration is excluded, i.e., an infinitely strong repulsion between 4f electrons is assumed.

3.1. The model Hamiltonian

Taking the impurity concentration equal to one, and imposing that the average number of conduction electrons and 4f electrons is conserved (self-consistency condition) [12], the Hamiltonian for the effective single-ion Anderson model is given by

$$H_{\rm A} = H_{\rm band} + H_{\rm imp} + H_{\rm mix},\tag{1}$$

where H_{band} describes the conduction states, H_{imp} represents CEF states at E_{f} and $E_{\text{f}}^* = E_{\text{f}} + \Delta$, and H_{mix} gives the transfer of electrons between 4f and conduction states. All energies are measured with respect to the chemical potential μ . The properties of the model depend in an essential way on the CEF splitting Δ and the coupling constant $g = \Gamma/(\pi |E_{\text{f}}|)$, where $\Gamma = \pi V^2/W$ measures the strength of coupling between the 4f electrons and a semielliptical conduction band (centred at $E_0 > 0$) of half-width W. In the limit $\Gamma \ll 1$ and $\Gamma < \Delta$, the model represents the 4f¹ configuration of the Ce ion at ambient pressure and high temperature. An increase of pressure stabilizes the 4f⁰ configuration of the Ce ion and enhances the configurational mixing. Therefore, the influence of pressure is accounted for by



Figure 3. The detailed (T, p) phase diagram of CeRu₂Ge₂ as obtained from electrical resistivity (half-filled symbols [16, 17]), calorimetric (open symbols [18]), and the combined $\rho(T)$ and S(T) (bold symbols [10]) measurements. The long-range magnetic order $(T_N \text{ and } T_L)$: antiferromagnetic, T_C : ferromagnetic) is suppressed at $p_c \approx 7.8$ GPa. T_S and T_K represent the positions of peaks in S(T) at high and low temperature, respectively. The coefficient A of $\rho(T)$ was used to calculate T_K (see the text).

an increase of the hybridization from $\Gamma < \Delta$ to $\Gamma > \Delta$. In a Kondo lattice compound the hybridization can give rise to charge fluctuations and, in order to obtain charge neutrality, μ was adjusted at each temperature and hybridization. Furthermore, it is assumed that pressure does not change Δ and W but shifts the bare f level and the centre of the conduction band by the same amount. Thus, for a given Ce compound, $n_c + n_f$ and $|E_0 - E_f|$ remain constant at all temperatures and pressures [23].

In order to describe the influence of pressure on S(T) and $\rho(T)$ for CeRu₂Ge₂, which is paramagnetic at high temperature and ambient pressure, it is sufficient to consider the case $g \ll 1$. The behaviour of the Anderson model in that limit is controlled by several well defined fixed points [24]. In the case of $\Gamma < \Delta$, the impurity appears to be magnetic and the low-temperature behaviour is characterized by the Fermi liquid (FL) fixed point, which describes a singlet formed by the 4f moment and the conduction electrons. An increase of temperature gives rise to a transition to the local moment (LM) fixed point, which characterizes a CEF split 4f state weakly coupled to the conduction band. This transition takes place around the energy scale, T_0 , determined by g, Δ , and the degeneracy of the CEF states [25, 26]. The definition of T_0 in the NCA is given in the next section. The remarkable feature of the Anderson model is that the physics at the FL and the LM fixed point is governed by the same characteristic energy scale T_0 . A further transition to a fixed point related to the scattering of conduction electrons on an effective sixfold-degenerate 4f multiplet occurs at temperatures above Δ . In the case of $\Gamma \gg \Delta$, the impurity is in the non-magnetic MV regime. The electrical resistivity and the thermopower of the single-impurity Anderson model are obtained from the usual expressions [27],

$$\rho_{\text{mag}} = \frac{1}{e^2 L_0},\tag{2}$$

$$S = -\frac{\kappa_{\rm B}}{|e|T} \frac{L_1}{L_0},\tag{3}$$

with k_B the Boltzmann constant and *e* the electronic charge. The transport coefficients L_0 and L_1 are given by the static limits of the current–current and current–heat current correlation function, respectively. The vertex corrections vanish and the transport integrals can be written as [25, 26, 28]

$$L_n = \frac{\sigma_0}{e^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\omega \, \left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}f(\omega)}{\mathrm{d}\omega} \right) \tau(\omega) \omega^n, \tag{4}$$

where $\tau(\omega)$ is the conduction electron scattering rate at energy ω [25, 26],

$$\frac{1}{\tau(\omega)} = cN\pi V^2 A(\omega),\tag{5}$$

with $A(\omega) = \mp \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} G_{f}(\omega \pm i0^{+})$ the 4f electron spectral function, $G_{f}(\omega)$ the retarded Green function, $f(\omega) = 1/[1 + \exp(\omega/(k_{B}T))]$ the Fermi function, N the total number of scattering channels, σ_{0} a material-specific constant, and c = 1 the concentration of 4f ions. $G_{f}(\omega \pm i0^{+})$ is obtained from the NCA, closely following [25, 26, 29].

The NCA results for CeRu₂Ge₂ are obtained for a ground state doublet and an excited quartet split by $\Delta = 0.07$ eV. It is convenient to assume an excited quartet CEF state instead of two excited doublet CEF levels. A significant change of the NCA results does not occur, since the separation between the first and the second excited state (0.021 eV) is less than their separation from the ground state (0.043 eV) [14, 15]. We used $E_f = -0.7$ eV, $E_0 = 0.7$ eV, W = 4 eV, and $\Gamma = 0.06$ eV to describe CeRu₂Ge₂ at ambient pressure and high temperatures ($k_BT = \Delta$), where the f state is almost decoupled from the conduction band and the renormalization of the bare parameters is small. The calculation yields the total number of 4f and conduction electrons, $n_{tot} = 5.6301$, which is preserved in all subsequent calculations at different temperatures and Γ values by adjusting μ [23].

3.2. Spectral functions

The spectral function $A(\omega)$ for a given value of Γ (0.06 eV < Γ < 0.2 eV) is calculated for a discrete set of temperatures in the range 2 K < T < 800 K. According to equations (4) and (5), an essential ingredient for the determination of the transport coefficients is the spectral function $A(\omega)$. The evolution of the shape of $A(\omega)$ under pressure (i.e. with increasing Γ) is presented in the following.

Figure 4 shows $A(\omega)$ at different temperatures and for the case $\Gamma < \Delta$ and $n_f \simeq 1$. The features common to all curves are a broad charge excitation peak somewhat above E_f (at $\omega \approx -0.59$ eV) and two features due to spin excitations of the full CEF multiplet. For $k_BT < \Delta$ these two peaks grow and sharpen. At very low temperature, an additional peak develops just above μ (inset to figure 4) and the low-energy part of $A(\omega)$ is characterized by three pronounced peaks [25, 26]. The two peaks centred at about $\omega_0 \pm \Delta$ are the renormalized CEF peaks, while the peak at ω_0 , which is close to μ , is the Kondo resonance. It determines the low-temperature transport properties. The energy ω_0 provides the NCA definition of the characteristic temperature, $T_0 = \omega_0/k_B$. The comparison with the numerical renormalization group (NRG) calculations shows [30] that this T_0 is a reliable estimate of the characteristic



Figure 4. The spectral function $A(\omega)$, calculated for two temperatures using $\Gamma = 0.06$ eV and $\Delta = 0.07$ eV. The broad feature just above $E_f = -0.7$ eV is the charge excitation peak. The renormalized CEF peaks and the Kondo resonance appear close to the chemical potential μ at $\omega = 0$. The inset shows $A(\omega)$ in the vicinity of $\omega = 0$. In the low-temperature $A(\omega)$ the Kondo peak is centred at $k_B T = \omega_0 \approx 0.2$ meV.

energy scale even for a doubly degenerate Anderson model. Thus, we assume that the NCA definition of T_0 provides the correct Kondo scale of the CEF split single-ion Anderson model as well.

For $\Gamma \ge \Delta$ (and $0.9 \le n_f < 0.95$) the relative amplitudes of the peaks have changed (figure 5). At high temperatures, i.e. for $k_BT \simeq \Delta$, the only prominent feature is the lowenergy resonance which is due to the exchange scattering on the full CEF multiplet (the $A(\omega)$ curve at 670 K in the inset to figure 5). With decreasing temperature the amplitudes of the renormalized CEF peak above the chemical potential and of the Kondo resonance increase. The larger Γ with respect to figure 4 results in a reduced amplitude of the charge excitation peak, in a broadening of the peaks, and in a shift of their positions to higher energies, whereas their separation is still Δ .

A further increase of Γ , such that $0.75 \leq n_f < 0.9$, shifts the Kondo and the CEF peaks to higher energies (figure 6). The Kondo peak is reduced but can still be resolved as a small feature on the low-energy side of the renormalized CEF peak. A different behaviour of $A(\omega)$ is found for $\Gamma \gg 2\Delta$, i.e., $n_f < 0.8$ (inset to figure 6). It has only a single broad peak centred at $\tilde{E}_f \approx 0.13$ eV, the renormalized position of the virtual bound 4f state, and $A(\omega)$ shows no splitting despite the presence of the CEF term in the Hamiltonian. The shape of this peak is retained at all temperatures and the Kondo resonance appears only as a weak shoulder on the low-energy side of this broad peak. Such a spectral function defines the MV regime of the Anderson model, where the relevant energy scale is $k_B T_0 = \tilde{E}_f$, which depends almost linearly on Γ [23]. The low-temperature part of $A(\omega)$ depicted in figure 6 clearly shows the limitations of our approach: the spike present at $\omega = 0$ is an artefact of the NCA and leads to an artificial



Figure 5. The spectral function $A(\omega)$ calculated with $\Gamma = 0.09$ eV and $\Delta = 0.07$ eV for different temperatures. Here the amplitudes of the charge excitation and the lower CEF peak at $\omega \approx \omega_0 - \Delta$ are reduced. The two features above $\omega = 0$ are the Kondo resonance and the renormalized CEF peak at ω_0 and $\omega_0 + \Delta$, respectively. The inset shows the temperature variation of $A(\omega)$ in a smaller energy range around the chemical potential.

enhancement of $\rho(T)$ and S(T) at low temperatures. The consequence of these non-analytic NCA states is commented on below.

3.3. Calculated electrical resistivity and thermopower

The moments L_n (with n = 0, 1) of the conduction electron scattering rate, weighted with the energy derivative of the Fermi function (equation (4)), determine the electrical resistivity and the thermopower (equations (2) and (3)). Thus, $\rho(T)$ and S(T) reflect the form of $A(\omega)$ around the chemical potential within the Fermi window $|\omega| < 2k_BT$. The sign of S(T) is positive if within the Fermi window more states lie above than below the chemical potential. S(T) is negative in the opposite case.

The NCA results for S(T) and small Γ values ($\Gamma \leq 100 \text{ meV}$) show one well resolved maximum at $T_S \approx 300 \text{ K}$ and an evolution of a second maximum, $T_{\text{max}}^{\text{low}}$, at low temperatures (figure 7(a)). For 100 meV $\leq \Gamma \leq 130 \text{ meV}$, the overall absolute value of S(T) has increased and T_S is slightly shifted to lower temperatures. As a consequence of the appearance of the low-temperature maximum, the minimum in S(T) around 100 K becomes less pronounced. $T_{\text{max}}^{\text{low}}$ correlates with the Kondo scale T_0 . At high Γ values, i.e., $\Gamma > 130 \text{ meV}$, the shape of S(T)is characterized by the high-temperature maximum which is shifted upwards in temperature (figure 7(b)). Its amplitude, $S_{\text{max}} \equiv S(T_S)$, increases almost linearly with Γ and saturates above $\Gamma \approx 150 \text{ meV}$ (inset to figure 7(b)).

Figure 8 depicts the calculated $\rho_{mag}(T)$ for several (small) values of Γ . The low-temperature $\rho_{mag}(T)$ exceeds that at high temperature, since the NCA overestimates $A(\omega)$ at small ω . Nevertheless, the logarithmic increase, as temperature decreases towards T_0 ,



Figure 6. The spectral function $A(\omega)$ calculated for the parameters $\Gamma = 0.15$ eV and $\Delta = 0.07$ eV at different temperatures. The Kondo resonance and the CEF peak are separated for $T \ll 670$ K. The data calculated for T = 2 K exhibit an artificial spike at $\omega = 0$ (see the text). Inset: $A(\omega)$ calculated for $\Gamma = 0.17$ eV and $\Delta = 0.07$ eV. The Kondo peak occurs as a shoulder on the low-energy side of a broad peak centred at $\tilde{E}_f \approx 0.13$ eV.

is preceded by the formation of a high-temperature maximum well above T_0 . Its position correlates very well with the maximum at T_S in S(T). The maximum in $\rho_{mag}(T)$ becomes less pronounced and is masked for $\Gamma > 100$ meV due to the artificially large $A(\omega)$ around μ . The occurrence of these non-analytic NCA states in $A(\omega)$ for large Γ values has a minor effect on the 4f electron number, n_f (inset to figure 8). n_f is the integral of $A(\omega)$ multiplied by the Fermi function. In the MV regime ($\Gamma > 2\Delta$) $n_f(T)$ decreases strongly from the high-temperature limit to $n_f \approx 0.7$ at low temperatures. The small increase at the lowest temperature results from the spike in $A(\omega)$. In the Kondo regime ($\Gamma < \Delta$) $n_f \approx 1$ is almost temperature independent. The variation of $n_f(T)$ with Γ reflects the change of regimes.

The strong increase of $\rho_{\text{mag}}(T)$ and the enhanced S(T) at low temperature (for $T \ll T_0$) are consequences of the spike in $A(\omega)$. This becomes particularly severe for large Γ values ($\Gamma > 100 \text{ meV}$). In this region the characteristic temperature scale T_0 increases very rapidly with Γ and $A(\omega)$ already acquires non-analytic NCA states at rather high temperatures (inset to figure 6). The integral L_0 is strongly underestimated, resulting in a $\rho_{\text{mag}}(T)$ which is too large (equation (2)). The integral L_1 is less affected, because the artificial NCA states are removed by the additional ω factor in equation (4). Thus, the shape of $S(T) \simeq L_1^{\text{NCA}}/L_0^{\text{NCA}}$ seems to be qualitatively correct, even for large Γ values, but the magnitude of the low-temperature S(T) is enhanced, since $1/L_0^{\text{NCA}} \gg 1/L_0^{\text{exact}}$. These difficulties are well known [25, 26] and can be solved easily in the Kondo limit, where the model has a unique Kondo scale, T_0 . The latter can be calculated in the LM regime, where the NCA is reliable. Once T_0 is known, the low-temperature transport properties can be inferred from the universal power laws $\rho(T) \propto 1 - a(T/T_0)^2$ and $S(T) \propto b(T/T_0)$ (where a and b follow from the Sommerfeld



Figure 7. (a) The temperature dependence of the calculated thermopower S(T) for several small (a) and large (b) values of the hybridization Γ and $\Delta = 0.07$ eV. The inset to (b) shows the Γ dependence of $S_{\text{max}} \equiv S(T_{\text{S}})$, the amplitude of the high-temperature maximum located at T_{S} in the calculated S(T) data.

expansion) which hold in the FL regime. Thus, combining the NCA and the FL theory, we can discuss the experimental data at all temperatures at which the single-ion approximation holds.

4. Discussion

The evolution of the calculated transport coefficients described above has several features of the pressure-induced changes in $\rho_{mag}(T)$ and S(T) of CeRu₂Ge₂ presented in section 2. In the following, the evolution of the transport properties is related to the modifications of $A(\omega)$ caused by the increase of Γ and compared to the experimental data. However, the above-mentioned limitations of the theoretical approach should be kept in mind.

As far as the NCA results of $\rho_{mag}(T)$ are concerned, the comparison of the theoretical and experimental data is limited to temperatures above about 100 K. This is due to the fact that the NCA yields an enhanced $A(\omega)$ at small ω and large Γ and the calculated $\rho_{mag}(T)$ increases strongly towards low temperatures. In addition, the single-site model leads to a lowtemperature saturation of $\rho_{mag}(T)$, which is not observed in stoichiometric compounds. Thus, the NCA results for $\rho_{mag}(T)$ can only be used for a qualitative discussion of the experimental data at low pressure. The clear high-temperature maximum and the subsequent shallow minimum, present in $\rho_{mag}(T)$ for small hybridization, are seen in the experimental behaviour of $\rho_{mag}(T)$ for $p \leq 3.4$ GPa (figure 1). Furthermore, the weak Γ dependence of the maximum position in the calculated $\rho_{mag}(T)$ is also observed in the experimental data.

The clear features in the calculated S(T) data and their evolution with Γ make a detailed comparison with the effect of pressure on S(T) possible. The ambient pressure S(T) is negative for temperatures below 300 K (figure 2). This shape of S(T) corresponds to that calculated for $\Gamma = 60$ meV (figure 7(a)). It results from the fact that within the Fermi window less spectral weight is present above than below μ . The difference, however, is small, which



Figure 8. The calculated temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity $\rho_{mag}(T)$ for different hybridizations Γ and $\Delta = 0.07$ eV. The enhancement of $\rho(T)$ at low temperature is an artefact of the calculation (see the text). The inset shows the temperature variation of the f electron number, $n_{\rm f}$, at various values of Γ .

explains the small negative value of S(T). For $k_{\rm B}T < \Delta$ the amplitude of the renormalized CEF peak in $A(\omega)$ below the chemical potential increases (figure 4) and S(T) attains slightly larger negative values in agreement with the ambient pressure data. At even lower temperature, the development of the Kondo resonance leads to more spectral weight above than below μ and S(T) starts to increase. This leads to the evolution of the minimum around 100 K and S(T) > 0 below 20 K. The minimum is clearly present in the experimental data, whereas the occurrence of the long-range magnetic order and the opening of a spin gap [31] conceal the positive maximum at low temperature. The features in the experimental S(T) data below 10 K are related to magnetic order and cannot be accounted for in the theory, since the single-ion approximation is not suited for the ordered phase of stoichiometric compounds. Here the 4f electrons become coherent at low enough temperatures, leading to magnetic transitions or to the formation of a heavy FL, like in CeRu₂Ge₂ at low or high pressure, respectively.

For $\Gamma > \Delta$, more spectral weight is present above than below μ . In this case the exchange scattering on the full CEF multiplet yields S(T) > 0 as experimentally observed for $p \ge 5.7$ GPa below 300 K. Reducing the temperature shifts spectral weight below the chemical potential (figure 5) and S(T) decreases. This redistribution leads again to a small positive low-temperature maximum at $T = T_{\text{max}}^{\text{low}} \simeq T_{\text{K}}^{\text{H}}$. The minimum in the S(T) curves can be slightly negative or positive, depending on the value of Γ with respect to Δ . This becomes evident for $\Gamma = 90$ and 100 meV (figure 7(a)) and in the experimental data for p = 3.4 and p = 5.7 GPa (figure 2). S(T) exhibits only a shallow minimum or a shoulder below T_{S} , like the curve for $\Gamma = 120$ meV in figure 7(a). The equivalent experimental data are those measured at 7.0 GPa depicted in figure 2.

The Kondo resonance appears only as a shoulder at the broad high-temperature maximum in the calculated S(T) curves for $\Gamma > 2\Delta$. In this region a drastic change of the relative spectral



Figure 9. T_S , the position of the high-temperature maximum in the calculated S(T), and T_0 , the characteristic temperature scale obtained from the position of the Kondo resonance in the spectral functions, versus hybridization Γ . T_0 corresponds to T_K (Γ smaller than \approx 80 meV), T_K^H (intermediate Γ), and \tilde{E}_I ($\Gamma > 170$ meV). The inset shows the experimental data for T_S and T_K for CeRu₂Ge₂ and $T_K \propto 1/\sqrt{A}$ for CeRu₂Si₂ taken from the literature [32–34]. The latter are shifted in pressure by 8.4 GPa (see the text).

weight of the peaks in $A(\omega)$ occurs and the Kondo resonance represents only a negligible correction to the spectral weight within the Fermi window. S(T) is always positive and grows monotonically towards the high-temperature maximum at $T_0 \propto \tilde{E}_f$ (figure 7(b)) as experimentally observed for p > 10 GPa (inset to figure 2). It is noteworthy that the almost linear increase of the calculated value of $S(T_S)$ is in qualitative agreement with the experimental findings (inset to figure 7(b)) [10].

Figure 9 shows the Γ dependence of T_0 and T_S determined from $A(\omega)$ and the calculated S(T) curves, respectively. The NCA values of T_0 obtained for $\Gamma \ll \Delta$ are very well approximated by the scaling expression $T_0 = T_K(g)$ [25, 26]. For a ground state doublet and an excited quartet, $T_K(g) \simeq Wg^{1/2} \exp\{-1/(2g)\}(W/\Delta)^{4/2}$. The exponent 4/2 reflects the assumption of an excited quartet instead of a doublet state. The Kondo resonance is due to spin excitations of the CEF doublet, whereas the Kondo temperature is enhanced by $(W/\Delta)^2$ due to the presence of excited CEF states. For intermediate values of Γ (i.e. $\Gamma < 170$ meV), the relationship between T_0 and the coupling constant is $T_0 = T_K^H \simeq Wg^{1/2} \exp\{-1/(2g)\}[W/(\Delta + T_K)]^{4/2}$.

The T_0 line separates the (T, Γ) phase diagram (figure 9) into different regions:

(i) at low coupling, i.e., Γ smaller than \approx 80 meV (where $T_0 \simeq T_K$), a temperature increase at constant hybridization first gives rise to a transition from the FL to the LM regime with a CEF ground state, and then to the LM regime with a full CEF multiplet. The crossover between the two LM regimes is accompanied by the minimum in S(T) at about 100 K (figures 2 and 7(a)). The thermopower becomes negative at the crossover from the FL to the LM regime with the CEF ground state (at about 20–40 K) and it stays negative in the LM regime of a full CEF multiplet.

- (ii) At intermediate coupling ($\Gamma < 170$ meV and $T_0 \simeq T_K^H$), the FL and the LM regimes are too close for the sign change in S(T) to occur, and the crossover is indicated by a shallow minimum between two asymmetric peaks or just a shoulder in S(T).
- (iii) For large Γ values ($\Gamma > 170 \text{ meV}$), the crossover is from the FL to a MV regime. Here the FL scale is large, $T_0 \propto \tilde{E}_f$, and S(T) grows monotonically towards the high-temperature maximum (figure 7(b) and inset to figure 2).

The phase diagram deduced from the single-impurity Anderson model calculations is in rather good agreement with the experimental one. In the inset to figure 9 the pressure dependence of the experimentally obtained $T_{\rm K}$ values of CeRu₂Ge₂ (already shown in figure 3) are replotted together with data for CeRu₂Si₂. The $T_{\rm K}(p)$ data for CeRu₂Si₂ were calculated using the measured A(p) dependence [32–34] and the scaling relation $T_{\rm K} \propto 1/\sqrt{A}$ with the assumption that $T_{\rm K} = 24$ K at ambient pressure [21]. In order to compare the CeRu₂Si₂ data with those for CeRu₂Ge₂, the former had to be shifted by 8.4 GPa [10]. The three regions can also be identified in the experimental data:

- (i) on the verge of the magnetic instability ($p \simeq 7.8$ GPa) but still in the magnetic phase, the $T_{\rm K}(p)$ variation detected with the S(T) experiment seems to confirm the exponential increase of $T_0 \simeq T_{\rm K}$ with Γ .
- (ii) In the pressure range 9 GPa $GPa, the <math>T_{\rm K}(p) \propto 1/\sqrt{A(p)}$ variation of CeRu₂Ge₂ as well as CeRu₂Si₂ is different to the $T_{\rm K}(p)$ dependence at lower pressure obtained from the S(T) experiment. The difference in $T_{\rm K}(p)$ dependence is similar to the $T_0(\Gamma)$ behaviour at intermediate Γ , where $T_0 \simeq T_{\rm K}^{\rm H}$ (main part of figure 9).
- (iii) Above 15 GPa (data available only for CeRu₂Si₂) the crossover into the MV regime, where $T_0 \propto \tilde{E}_f$, yields a reduced pressure dependence in agreement with the calculated $T_0(\Gamma)$ dependence for $\Gamma > 170$ meV.

It is interesting to note that the characteristic energy scales of a local and a coherent FL do not seem to differ very much, so the universal power laws of a coherent FL provide an estimate of the single-impurity scale T_0 . However, a proper treatment of the low-temperature and high-pressure properties requires a lattice model.

The NCA used to describe the pressure-induced features in CeRu₂Ge₂ is not restricted to this compound. Other ternary Ce compounds such as CeCu₂Si₂ and CePd₂Si₂ can also be described, if the parameters are adjusted appropriately. The method works also for Yb compounds if the *decrease* of the coupling between the 4f states and the conduction band with pressure is taken into account.

5. Conclusions

A qualitative understanding of the pressure-induced changes in the electrical resistivity $\rho(T)$ and thermopower S(T) of CeRu₂Ge₂ was developed in the framework of the single-site Anderson model. The evolution of $\rho(T)$ and S(T) with pressure was accounted for by means of the increase of the 4f conduction band electron hybridization Γ . The calculated spectral functions $A(\omega)$ show that the temperature-induced redistribution of spectral weight yields several of the pronounced features observed in the measured transport quantities. The position of the peak in $A(\omega)$ close to the chemical potential gives a very reliable estimate of the characteristic temperature T_0 at low temperature. The crossovers between various fixed points of the Anderson model and the redistribution of the single-particle spectral weight within the Fermi window explain the hybridization dependence of the transport coefficients and the seemingly complicated temperature and pressure dependences of experimental S(T) data. The Γ dependence of the characteristic temperature scale T_0 is in qualitative agreement with the experimentally determined $T_{\rm K}(p)$ variation.

Acknowledgments

We thank T C Kobayashi and M Malquarti for assistance during the thermopower measurements. HW is grateful to B Schmidt for many stimulating discussions. The work was partly supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

References

- [1] Jaccard D, Behnia K and Sierro J 1992 Phys. Lett. A 163 475
- [2] Levin E M, Lutsiv R V, Finkel'shtein L D, Samsonova N D and Yasnitskii R I 1981 Sov. Phys.—Solid State 23 1403
- [3] Jaccard D and Sierro J 1982 Valence Instabilities ed P Wachter and H Boppart (Amsterdam: North-Holland) p 409
- [4] Jaccard D, Mignot J M, Bellarbi B, Benoit A, Braun H F and Sierro J 1985 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 47/48 23
- [5] Amato A, Jaccard D, Sierro J, Haen P, Lejay P and Flouquet J 1989 J. Low Temp. Phys. 77 195
- [6] Amato A, Jaccard D, Flouquet J, Lapierre F, Tholence J L, Fisher R A, Lacy S E, Olsen J A and Phillips N E 1987 J. Low Temp. Phys. 68 371
- [7] Link P, Jaccard D and Lejay P 1996 Physica B 225 207
- [8] Link P, Jaccard D and Lejay P 1996 Physica B 223/224 303
- [9] Fierz C, Jaccard D, Sierro J and Flouquet J 1988 J. Appl. Phys. 63 3899
- [10] Wilhelm H and Jaccard D 2004 Phys. Rev. B 69 214408 (Preprint cond-mat/0402187)
- [11] Bhattacharjee A K and Coqblin B 1976 Phys. Rev. B 13 3441
- [12] Zlatić V, Horvatić B, Milat I, Coqblin B, Czycholl G and Grenzebach C 2003 Phys. Rev. B 68 104432
- [13] Jaccard D, Vargoz E, Alami-Yadri K and Wilhelm H 1998 Rev. High Pressure Sci. Technol. 7 412 (Preprint cond-mat/9711089)
- [14] Felten R, Weber G and Rietschel H 1987 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 63/64 383
- [15] Loidl A, Knorr K, Knopp G, Krimmel A, Caspary R, Böhm A, Sparn G, Geibel C, Steglich F and Murani A P 1992 Phys. Rev. B 46 9341
- [16] Wilhelm H, Alami-Yadri K, Revaz B and Jaccard D 1999 Phys. Rev. B 59 3651
- [17] Wilhelm H and Jaccard D 1998 Solid State Commun. 106 239
- [18] Bouquet F, Wang Y, Wilhelm H, Jaccard D and Junod A 2000 Solid State Commun. 113 367
- [19] Süllow S, Aronson M C, Rainford B D and Haen P 1999 Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 2963
- [20] Demuer A, Marcenat C, Thomasson J, Calemczuk R, Salce B, Lejay P, Braithwaite D and Flouquet J 2000 J. Low Temp. Phys. 120 245
- [21] Besnus M J, Kappler J P, Lehmann P and Meyer A 1985 Solid State Commun. 55 779
- [22] Haen P, Bioud H and Fukuhara T 2000 Physica B 281/282 59
- [23] Zlatić V and Monnier R 2005 at press
- [24] Hewson A C 1993 The Kondo Problem to Heavy Fermions (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [25] Bickers N E, Cox D L and Wilkins J W 1987 Phys. Rev. B 36 2036
- [26] Bickers N E 1987 Rev. Mod. Phys. 59 845
- [27] Mahan G D 1981 Many-Particle Physics (New York: Plenum)
- [28] Mahan G D 1998 Solid State Physics vol 51 (San Diego, CA: Academic) p 81
- [29] Monnier R, Degiorgi L and Delley B 1990 Phys. Rev. B 41 573
- [30] Costi T A, Kroha J and Wölfle P 1996 Phys. Rev. B 53 1850
- [31] Raymond S, Haen P, Calemczuk R, Kambe S, Fåk B, Lejay P, Fukuhara T and Flouquet J 1999 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 11 5547
- [32] Payer K, Haen P, Laurant J-M, Mignot J-M and Flouquet J 1993 Physica B 186-188 503
- [33] Mignot J-M, Ponchet A, Haen P, Lapiere F and Flouquet J 1989 Phys. Rev. B 40 10917
- [34] Thompson J D, Willis J O, Godart C, MacLaughlin D E and Gupta L C 1985 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 47/48 281

S836